

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/670,917 09/29/2000		Norikazu Mizuno	81877.0007	1895	
759	90 12/20/2001				
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P. Biltmore Tower Ste. 1900			EXAMINER		
			GUERRERO, MARIA F		
500 S. Grand Av		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Los Angeles, CA 90071			2822		
			DATE MAILED: 12/20/2001		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,*•					<u></u>
		Applicati	n N	Applicant(s)	
		09/670,91	7	MIZUNO ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Maria Gue		2822	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears n the	c ver sheet with	th correspond nce addres	is
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION OF THIS COMMUNION OF THIS COMMUNION OF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the reply specified above is less than thirty (30) Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum staticure to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve nication. days, a reply within the statu witory period will apply and wil if will by statute. cause the apply	ent, however, may a repl utory minimum of thirty (i Il expire SIX (6) MONTH ication to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this commu	inication.
Status		d an 20 Cantambar	2000		
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file				
2a)		b)⊠ This action is			arita ia
3)□	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practic	tor allowance except ce under <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	i for formal matte uayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	ents is
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the ap	plication.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from cor	nsideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	. Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election re	equirement.		
Applicat	tion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)□ accepted or b)□	objected to by the	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any obje				
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a) □ a	pproved b)⊡ dis	approved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are req		fice action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.			
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim t	for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
, a	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:				
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority of	locuments have bee	n received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority of	locuments have bee	n received in App	olication No	
*	 Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation from the attached detailed Office action 	ational Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		ge
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo			•	plication).
•	a) The translation of the foreign lang				,
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for				
Attachme	nt(s)		_		
2) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa			ımmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-15	

Application/Control Number: 09/670,917 Page 2

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is the first action on the merits.
 Claims 1-9 are pending.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 5-7 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 5 recites "has **such** a thickness"; claim 6 recites "to **such** an extent"; claim 7 recites the expression "or higher". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/670,917

Art Unit: 2822

Claims 3 recites "predetermined times". Claims 4 recites "predetermined thickness". The expression "predetermined" rendered the claims indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mori et al. (JP 06-080962) (Translation).

Mori et al. teaches an apparatus comprising a reaction container (Translation, claims).

Expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim." Exparte Thibault, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App. 1969. A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Exparte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987).

Claim R j cti ns - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori et al. (JP 06-080962) (Translation) in view of Moore et al. (U.S. 6,251,802).

Mori et al. teaches forming a silicon nitride film on a reaction container, removing silicon nitride film by introducing NF₃ gas. Mori et al. teaches the silicon nitride film is deposited by CVD using silane and NH₃. Mori et al. discloses the pressure ranging from several Torr to normal pressure (Translation).

Mori et al. fails to show forming the silicon nitride film with bis tertiary butyl amino silane and NH₃. However, Moore et al. shows forming a silicon nitride layer with bis tertiary butyl amino silane and NH₃ by chemical vapor deposition (col. 5, lines 25-35, col. 7, lines 3-15).

Mori et al. does not specifically show the reaction container being made of quartz. However, this is well known in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mori et al.'s process by including the use of bis tertiary butyl amino silane as taught Moore et al. The modification would eliminate buildup of the silicon nitride layer on internal chamber parts and would produce less global warming gas effluents.

8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Langan et al. (U.S. 5,413,670) in view of Moore et al. (U.S. 6,251,802).

Langan et al. teaches forming a silicon nitride film on a reaction container, removing silicon nitride film from a CVD reactor by introducing NF₃ gas (Abstract, col. 4, lines 3-10).

Langan et al. fails to show forming the silicon nitride film with bis tertiary butyl amino silane and NH₃. However, Moore et al. shows forming a silicon nitride layer with bis tertiary butyl amino silane and NH₃ by chemical vapor deposition (col. 5, lines 25-35, col. 7, lines 3-15).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Langan et al.'s process by including the use of bis tertiary butyl amino silane as taught Moore et al. The modification would eliminate buildup of the silicon nitride layer on internal chamber parts and would produce less global warming gas effluents.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Foster (U.S. 4,720,395) discloses a thermal CVD process for forming Si₃N₄. Hines et al. "Reducing Perfluorinated Compound Emissions " and Ino et al. "Plasma Enhanced In Situ Chamber Cleaning Evaluated by Extracted-Plasma-Parameter Analysis" teach using NF3 to clean chemical vapor deposition chambers.

Qiao et al. (U.S. 5,976,900) shows the use of quartz in the reaction container as well

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/670,917

Art Unit: 2822

known in the art (col. 8, lines 10-15). Xia et al. (U.S. 6,255,222) teaches a method for removing residue from substrate processing chamber.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maria Guerrero whose telephone number is 703-305-0162.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead Jr. can be reached on 703-308-4940. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7382 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

MG

December 10, 2001

CAR WITEEAD, JR.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800